

qtleap

quality
translation
by deep
language
engineering
approaches

Interim report on the curation of language resources and tools for deep MT

DELIVERABLE D2.5

VERSION 2.0 | 2015-05-04

QTLeap

Machine translation is a computational procedure that seeks to provide the translation of utterances from one language into another language.

Research and development around this grand challenge is bringing this technology to a level of maturity that already supports useful practical solutions. It permits to get at least the gist of the utterances being translated, and even to get pretty good results for some language pairs in some focused discourse domains, helping to reduce costs and to improve productivity in international businesses.

There is nevertheless still a way to go for this technology to attain a level of maturity that permits the delivery of quality translation across the board.

The goal of the QTLeap project is to research on and deliver an articulated methodology for machine translation that explores deep language engineering approaches in view of breaking the way to translations of higher quality.

The deeper the processing of utterances the less language-specific differences remain between the representation of the meaning of a given utterance and the meaning representation of its translation. Further chances of success can thus be explored by machine translation systems that are based on deeper semantic engineering approaches.

Deep language processing has its stepping-stone in linguistically principled methods and generalizations. It has been evolving towards supporting realistic applications, namely by embedding more data based solutions, and by exploring new types of datasets recently developed, such as parallel DeepBanks.

This progress is further supported by recent advances in terms of lexical processing. These advances have been made possible by enhanced techniques for referential and conceptual ambiguity resolution, and supported also by new types of datasets recently developed as linked open data.

The project QTLeap explores novel ways for attaining machine translation of higher quality that are opened by a new generation of increasingly sophisticated semantic datasets and by recent advances in deep language processing.

www.qtleap.eu

Funded by

QTLeap is funded by the 7th Framework Programme of the European Commission.

P3



Supported by

And supported by the participating institutions:



Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisbon



German Research Centre for Artificial Intelligence




Charles University in Prague



Bulgarian Academy of Sciences



Humboldt University of Berlin



University of Basque Country



University of Groningen


Higher Functions, Lda

Revision history

Version	Date	Authors	Organisation	Description
0.0	Mar 16, 2015	Petya Osenova, Markus Egg	IICT-BAS, UBER	Structure
1.0	Mar 16, 2015	Petya Osenova	IICT-BAS	First draft
1.1	Mar 22, 2015	Aljoscha Burchardt	DFKI	Integrated text
1.2	Mar 27, 2015	João Silva	FCUL	Integrated text
1.3	Mar 27, 2015	Dieke Oele, Gertjan van Noord	UG	Integrated text
1.4	Mar 29, 2015	Gorka Labaka	UPV-EHU	Integrated text
1.5	Mar 31, 2015	Petya Osenova	IICT-BAS	Editing
1.6	Apr 9, 2015	Rosa Del Gaudio	HF	Integrated Text
1.7	Apr 10, 2015	Aljoscha Burchardt	DFKI	Editing
1.8	Apr 14, 2015	Gertjan van Noord	UG	Editing
1.9	Apr 20, 2015	Rosa Del Gaudio	HF	Editing
1.10	Apr 21, 2015	João Silva	FCUL	Editing
1.11	Apr 23, 2015	Gorka Labaka	UPV-EHU	Editing
1.12	Apr 24, 2015	Rosa Del Gaudio	HF	Editing
1.13	Apr 24, 2015	João Silva	FCUL	Editing
1.14	Apr 24, 2015	Petya Osenova	IICT-BAS	Editingt
2.00	May 4, 2015	Markus Egg	UBER	Final version review completed

Statement of originality

This deliverable contains original unpublished work except where clearly indicated otherwise. Acknowledgement of previously published material and of the work of others has been made through appropriate citation, quotation or both.



Interim report on the curation of language resources and tools for deep MT

DOCUMENT QTLEAP-2015-D2.5
EC FP7 PROJECT #610516

DELIVERABLE D2.5

completion

FINAL

status

SUBMITTED

dissemination level

PUBLIC

responsible

KIRIL SIMOV (TASK 2.4 COORDINATOR)

reviewer

MARKUS EGG

contributing partners

FCUL, DFKI, CUNI, IICT-BAS, UBER, UPV-EHU, UG, with HF

authors

PETYA OSENOVA, ROSA DEL GAUDIO, JOÃO SILVA, ALJOSCHA BURCHARDT,
MARTIN POPEL, GERTJAN VAN NOORD, DIEKE OELE, GORKA LABAKA

© all rights reserved by FCUL on behalf of QTLeap

Contents

P6

1	Introduction	8
2	QTleap Multilingual Corpus	8
2.1	Gathering the corpus	8
2.2	Characterization: examples and statistics	9
2.3	A parallel multilingual resource	10
2.4	License and distribution	10
3	Further curated LRTs for Pilot 1	11
3.1	Basque to/from English	11
3.2	Bulgarian to/from English	12
3.3	Czech to/from English	13
3.4	Dutch to/from English	13
3.5	German to/from English	14
3.6	Portuguese to/from English	16
3.7	Spanish to/from English	18
4	Final remarks	19
A	Summary of availability	24

List of Abbreviations

P7

BDT	Basque Dependency Treebank
CoNLL	Conference on Natural Language Learning
LRTs	Language Resources and Tools
MT	Machine Translation
NAF	NLP Annotation Format
NER	Named Entity Recognition
NLP	Natural Language Processing
POS	Part-Of-Speech
QA	Question Answering
RBMT	Rule-based Machine Translation
USD	Universal Stanford Dependencies
WSJ	Wall Street Journal

1 Introduction

This deliverable reports on language resources and tools (LRTs) for Machine Translation (MT) Pilot 1. The Pilot 1 and its evaluation are presented in deliverables D2.4 and D3.8.

LRTs that support Pilot 2, with the enhancement of lexical semantics, are described in deliverable D5.4. LRTs that support Pilot 3, enhanced with deep processing, are described in deliverable D4.7. These deliverables were already delivered at M12. These supporting LRTs will be further documented at M18 and M30 in deliverables D5.6 and D5.8, for Pilot 2, and at M24 and M35 in deliverables D4.10 and D4.12, for Pilot 3.

The current deliverable considers the curation of LRTs for Pilot 1 in accordance to the plans in the DoW and their further specification in Section 5.2 of deliverable D1.3. It also considers the QTLeap corpus, which is relevant for Pilot 1 as well as the other MT Pilots.

The curation of LRTs concerned adaptation, further development and improvement. This deliverable is further structured into two major chapters. In the next chapter, the QTLeap corpus is presented. In the subsequent chapter, the other LRTs are addressed in seven sections, each concerning one of the relevant project language pairs.

2 QTLeap Multilingual Corpus

The QTLeap corpus is a language resource that was created within the project. This corpus was gathered and organized for serving multiple purposes, such as the monitoring of the translation pipelines and the webservice (Tasks 3.1 and 3.2) and the evaluation of the MT pilots (Tasks 2.5 and 3.5).

The QTLeap corpus is composed by 4 000 pairs of questions and respective answers in the domain of ICT troubleshooting for both hardware and software. This material was collected using a real-life, commercial, online support service via chat. The corpus is thus composed by naturally occurring utterances produced by users while interacting with that service. The support system, denominated PcWizard, aims to be the first point of contact for troubleshooting trying to offer a rapid reply and solution to not too complex questions from the users.

2.1 Gathering the corpus

The PcWizard incorporates an application to automatically answer simple requests from users. This process of providing support to end-users is made efficient by a Question Answering (QA) application that supports it in preparing the replies to clients. Using techniques based on natural language processing, each query for help is matched against a memory of previous QAs, and drawing from that repository, a list of possible replies is displayed, ranked by relevance according to internal heuristics of this support system. If the top reply scores over a threshold, it gets returned to the client. If the reply does not score over that threshold, a human operator is presented with the list of possible answers delivered by the system and he can either pick the most relevant or write a complete new answer (which will then be stored, and will thus contribute to extend the QA database).

The corpus was collected by selecting data contained in the database of the PcWizard application, where all the interactions with the clients are saved. The interactions that better support the automatic QA module were selected. Only interactions composed by one question and the respective answer were included in the corpus.

Question	Without Wi-Fi
Answer	Check if your PC can detect a wireless network. Otherwise, the wireless card may be disabled. Sometimes, computers have a physical button or switch that can turn the wireless network card on and off.
Question	Help on installing a printer
Answer	Try installing the drivers from the CD that came with the printer. If you do not have the CD, you can go to the manufacturer’s website to obtain the drivers needed.
Question	How do I change the homepage in Internet Explorer?
Answer	In Internet Explorer, go to Properties, and change the homepage

Table 1: The QTLeap Corpus Examples.

2.2 Characterization: examples and statistics

As a result of this process, the QTLeap corpus is characterized by short sentences, usually a request of help followed by an answer, and each conversation thread involves only two persons, the user and the operator. The request for help is often a well-formed question or a declarative sentence reporting a problem, but in a relevant number of cases, the question is not grammatically correct, presenting problems with coordination, missing verbs, etc. In some cases, the request is composed by a list of key words. This kind of utterance is representative of informal communication via chats. On the other hand, a more formal register characterizes the answers, as they are produced by well-trained operators and they need to be very precise and concise in order to provide clarification to the user and to not generate more doubts. Table 1 shows some examples of interactions extracted from the corpus.

Table 2 presents some statistics from the corpus focussing on the questions, answers and the general composition. On average the questions are composed by just one sentence with a length of 12.6 tokens, while the answers by 1.5 sentences, with a length of 15 tokens. This means that an interaction is usually composed by two or three sentences.

	Tokens	Sentences	Tokens/Sentences	Sentences/Interactions
Questions	50905	4031	12.6	1
Answers	88536	5919	15	1.5
Total	139411	9959	14	2.5

Table 2: The QTLeap Corpus in numbers.

This kind of corpora is not very common, as most of the mainstream research is based on corpora using data sets composed by published texts, such as newspapers or books, or transcription of oral conversations. Furthermore, corpora with interrogatives are extremely rare, and most of them contain interrogatives that are artificially produced by manipulation over sentences that were originally declarative ones.

In the last years, a few corpora were collected that are composed by chat conversations over the internet. These corpora contain informal conversations about personal topics Forsyth and Martell [2007]. Other corpora are more focused on technical topics such as the LINUX corpus Elsner and Charniak [2010], the IPHONE/PHYSICS/PYTHON corpus Adams [2008] and the Ubuntu chat corpus Uthus and Aha [2013]. These corpora differ from the one presented here as they include large amounts of social talks though the chats used as sources for these corpora were initially intended only for tech support.

In all the referred corpora, the conversation threads involve several participants using an informal register. In almost all the cases (except for the Ubuntu corpus) the language addressed in these corpora is limited to English.

2.3 A parallel multilingual resource

The QTLeap corpus is a unique resource given that it is a multilingual data set with parallel utterances in different languages (Basque, Bulgarian, Czech, Dutch, English, German, Portuguese and Spanish), from four different language families (Basque, Germanic, Romance and Slavic). This multilingual resource was obtained by translating the original Portuguese corpus to the other languages. In particular, the Portuguese corpus was translated to the pivot language, which is the English language. The obtained English corpus was then translated into all the remaining languages covered in the project.

In order to translate the original corpus from Portuguese to English, several translation agencies were contacted. Each agency provided the translation of a small sample of the corpus, and in this way it was possible to select the best translation. After this, all the corpus were revised internally at the HF project partner in order to ensure that the translation of technical terms was correct.

The translators were instructed to keep the informal register when translating from Portuguese to English, but to be precise regarding the terminology. We aimed to obtain a translation as close as possible to the original language, but that still sounds natural to a native speaker of the target language.

In order to translate the English corpus to the other languages a similar process was carried out. First several translation agencies were contacted and asked to provide the translation of a sample.

The translated sample was checked by the partner in charge for the respective language in the project. The best translation service was selected on the basis of that assessment. The translation work was then carried out by at least two translators for each language, one performed the translation and the other revised it.

In order to ensure the quality of the translation, the corpus was divided in several batches. In this way it was possible to monitor the translation while it was being produced, and make the convenient adjustments.

The aligned corpus that was obtained was cleaned by removing extra spaces and non printable characters. The process of improvement is still going on, at a residual pace, by detecting and fixing possible remaining issues, such as small typos. Each partner keeps a register of the improvement made on its language specific part of the corpus and the corpus is eventually updated under new versions.

2.4 License and distribution

The QTLeap corpus is available through the META-SHARE repository under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International licence.

For each language, the corpus will be composed by two plain text files, one listing all the questions and the other listing all the answers. The two files are aligned, this means that the question in the first line of the file containing the questions corresponds to the answer in the first line of the file containing the answers. This correspondence holds across the different languages.

3 Further curated LRTs for Pilot 1

In this chapter, we will present the LRTs that were curated to support the development of MT Pilot 1 along a number of sections, each devoted to a specific language pair.

3.1 Basque to/from English

The translation pipeline for the Basque/English language pair uses TectoMT, which handles English analysis, English synthesis and tectogrammatical transfer. As a result, most of our effort in terms of LRTs has focused on Basque analysis and Basque synthesis. For Pilot 1, LRT curation involved (i) integrating existing analysis tools into Treex and (ii) implementing Basque synthesis in Treex.¹ This Section describes such curation.

UPV/EHU already availed tools that perform linguistic analysis covering all stages from tokenization to parsing, as well as tools for co-reference resolution, Semantic Role Labelling and Word Sense Disambiguation, as described in deliverable D2.4. For Pilot 1, we have integrated PoS tagging and dependency parsing and the remaining linguistic processes are directly performed by Treex blocks.

Treex contains tokenization and sentence splitting modules based on non-breaking prefixes. These blocks have been extended to work with Basque. To this end, a list of Basque non-breaking prefixes have been added.

After tokenization, UPV/EHU modules for PoS tagging, lemmatization and dependency parsing have been integrated into Treex. *ixa-pipe-pos-eu* (Alegria et al. [2002]), the PoS and lemmatization tool, which also performs tokenization and sentence splitting, has been modified to reuse previous tokenization. The tool now tokenizes on whitespaces only and sentence splitting considers each line in the input as a new sentence. Additionally, both *ixa-pipe-pos-eu* and the *ixa-pipe-dep-eu* dependency parser (based on MATE-tools (Bohnet and Kuhn [2012])) have been modified to accept NAF format in input/output to allow for pipeline executions similar to *ixa-pipes* tools (Agerri et al. [2014]) available for Spanish and English. Those modifications, undertaken in the project, has been integrated in the official distribution of the tools.

After applying these modifications, we integrated the tools as a wrapper block that, given a set of tokenized sentences, creates the appropriate input and calls the relevant tools. Once the tools finish their work, the analyses are read and loaded into Treex documents.

The analyses generated by UPV/EHU tools follow the guidelines of the Basque Dependency Treebank (BDT) corpus (Aduriz et al. [2003]) for both morphological tags and dependency tree structures. Therefore, to fully integrate the analyses into Treex, they must be modified to use the Interset tagset and follow Treex guidelines. To implement this change, we have used existing modules that have been improved for QTLeap purposes: (i) Interset driver for BDT tagset by Dan Zeman. It is published under open-source license (Perl Artistic + GPL) at CPAN²; (ii) Harmonization Treex block for BDT-style dependencies by Dan Zeman. It is available under open-source license (Perl Artistic + GPL) at QTLeap git repository³

¹TectoMT and Treex and described in other project deliverables, including deliverable D2.4

²<https://metacpan.org/source/ZEMAN/Lingua-Interset-2.041/lib/Lingua/Interset/Tagset/EU/Conll.pm>

³<https://redmine.ms.mff.cuni.cz/projects/qt leap/repository/ revisions/master/ changes/ treex/lib/Treex/Block/HamleDT/EU/Harmonize.pm>

For Basque synthesis, we have trained a model for Flect (Dušek and Jurcíček [2013]). Flect is a fully trainable morphological generation system aimed at robustness to previously unseen inputs, based on logistic regression and Levenshtein distance edit scripts between the lemma and the target word form. Given an already analyzed corpus, Flect is able to automatically learn the edits needed to generate the wordform based on the lemma and a set of morphological tags. Additionally, a number of Treex modules have been created to deal with diverse issues such as word order and capitalization.

The tools curated for Pilot 1 are published under open-source licenses: (1) *ixa-pipe-pos-eu*, the PoS tagger for Basque, is distributed under GPLv3 license⁴. Note that *ixa-pipe-pos-eu* requires the reinstallation of several free tools, which have different licenses. Please refer to the installation instructions. (2) *ixa-pipe-dep-eu*, the Basque dependency parser, is distributed under GPLv3 license⁵. (3) Treex and all the extensions developed for EN-EU are distributed under Perl Artistic + GPL licenses.

3.2 Bulgarian to/from English

For Bulgarian-English and English-Bulgarian Pilot 1 we have exploited the ideas from Wang et al. [2012a] and Wang et al. [2012b] to use factor models over Minimal Recursion Semantics representation. We have used Bulgarian pipeline described in D2.4 for producing POS tagging, lemmatization and dependency parsing of Bulgarian and IXA pipeline for the same processing in English.

Ixa-pipes wrapper

For processing the English part of the data we have created a wrapper of several modules of the *ixa-pipes* system (Agerri et al. [2014]), which produce corresponding levels of analysis to the Bulgarian pipeline: sentence splitting, tokenization, lemmatization, part of speech (POS) tagging, and dependency parsing. These modules include: - *ixa-pipe-tok* (version 1.7.0), *ixa-pipe-pos* (version 1.3.3), and *IXA-EHU-srl* (version 1.0). The wrapper includes an additional module which generates factored output, suitable for use with a Moses factored system (Koehn and Hoang [2007]).

The first module, *ixa-pipe-tok*, takes as input a plain text document, and carries out rule-based tokenization and sentence segmentation.

The next step in the pipeline, *ixa-pipe-pos*, includes POS tagging and lemmatization. For tagging we have selected one of the provided POS models for English – Perceptron (Collins [2002]), which was trained using the WSJ treebank.

The last *ixa-pipes* module carries out dependency parsing. It is a wrapper of the English dependency parser and semantic role labeler of the *mate-tools* system (Bohnet [2010]). The module which was used for parsing is one of the provided models for English, which was trained on a concatenation of all of the CoNLL 2009 Shared Task (Hajič et al. [2009]) data sets for English.

The intermediate and final results of each of the *ixa-pipes* processing steps is stored in NAF format. The last module of the wrapper takes the resulting NAF document and generates factors which correspond to the ones produced by the Bulgarian pipeline. The following factors are generated: word form (NAF terms layer), lemma, POS tag, morphological features, dependency relation, parent word lemma, parent word POS tag, elementary predicate, and variable type.

⁴<http://ixa2.si.ehu.es/ixa-pipes/eu/ixa-pipe-pos-eu.tar.gz>

⁵<http://ixa2.si.ehu.es/ixa-pipes/eu/ixa-pipe-dep-eu.tar.gz>

The wrapper provides the option to preserve the number of lines in the input and output English file. This option should be used when processing parallel corpora to ensure that the resulting factored output can be aligned to its corresponding Bulgarian file in case when the English file contains more than one sentence in certain lines.

3.3 Czech to/from English

Most of the tools used in Check to/from English Pilot 1 experiments had existed at ÚFAL, CUNI. Some of the more notorious tools in this set are MorphoDiTa and NameTag, which for the purpose of Pilot 1 have been integrated into Treex. The Treex wrappers are available under open-source license (Perl Artistic + GPL).⁶

The tools themselves are also open source (LGPL) and available from GitHub or <http://www.lindat.cz/>.

MorphoDiTa, Morphological Dictionary and Tagger, is an open-source tool for morphological analysis of natural language texts. It performs morphological analysis, morphological generation, tagging and tokenization and is distributed as a standalone tool or a library, along with trained linguistic models. In the Czech language, MorphoDiTa achieves state-of-the-art results (Straková et al. [2014]) with a throughput around 10-200K words per second. MorphoDiTa is a free software under LGPL license and the linguistic models are free for non-commercial use and distributed under CC BY-NC-SA license, although for some models the original data used to create the model may impose additional licensing conditions.

NameTag is an open-source tool for named entity recognition (NER). It identifies proper names in text and classifies them into predefined categories, such as names of persons, locations, organizations, etc. NameTag is distributed as a standalone tool or a library, along with trained linguistic models. In the Czech language, NameTag achieves state-of-the-art performance (Straková et al. [2014]). NameTag is a free software under LGPL license and the linguistic models are free for non-commercial use and distributed under CC BY-NC-SA license, although for some models the original data used to create the model may impose additional licensing conditions.

3.4 Dutch to/from English

For the Dutch-English and English-Dutch pipeline, a combination of Treex and Alpino is used.

From English to Dutch, English analysis, transfer, and conversion to Dutch a-trees is performed in Treex. A special block in Treex then converses those a-trees to Alpino-style dependency trees. These are then input to Alpino, and Alpino produces full sentences.

From Dutch to English, Alpino is used for Dutch analysis. A special Treex block takes care to map the Alpino-style dependency structures to a-trees. From there on, the Treex pipeline is used to map these to English sentences.

⁶ <https://redmine.ms.mff.cuni.cz/projects/qt leap/repository/ revisions/master/ changes/treex/lib/Treex/Tool/Tagger/MorphoDiTa.pm>
<https://redmine.ms.mff.cuni.cz/projects/qt leap/repository/ revisions/master/ changes/ treex/lib/Treex/Block/W2A/TagMorphoDiTa.pm>
<https://redmine.ms.mff.cuni.cz/projects/qt leap/repository/ revisions/master/ changes/ treex/lib/Treex/Tool/NER/NameTag.pm>
<https://redmine.ms.mff.cuni.cz/projects/qt leap/repository/ revisions/master/ changes/ treex/lib/Treex/Block/A2N/NameTag.pm>

For the purpose of Pilot 1, a few new tools have been developed. These tools include the integration of Alpino in Treex, some new blocks in Treex, and some adaptations in Alpino.

The new Treex components include:

- Interset driver for Dutch CGN/Lassy/Alpino-style tagset by Dan Zeman and Ondrej Dusek. This driver is used in EN-NL and NL-EN Pilot1. It did not exist before QTLeap and was created only for QTLeap purposes. It is published under open-source license (Perl Artistic + GPL) at CPAN⁷
- Harmonization Treex block for Dutch Alpino-style trees by Ondrej Dusek and David Marecek. This block is used in EN-NL and NL-EN Pilot1. It did not exist before QTLeap and was created only for QTLeap purposes. It is available under open-source license (Perl Artistic + GPL) at QTLeap git repository.⁸

The following changes were made in Alpino for the sole purpose of the QtLeap Pilot 1. All resulting changes are integrated in the latest Alpino release, and freely available (including all sources) from the Alpino homepage.⁹

- Based on error-mining applied to the available development data, we adapted a number of small issues in the lexicon, and in the Alpino grammar. One rule was added to the Alpino grammar for imperatives which are preceded by a modifier, for the Dutch equivalent of sentences such as “In the main menu, open the file-editor”.
- The generation algorithm has been tuned and improved both for efficiency considerations and robustness considerations. If an input structure cannot be generated fully, the algorithm will generate each of the sub-structures. As a consequence, the current version of the Alpino generator is both more effective and (much!) faster than previous versions - not only on QtLeap data but also on other data-sets.
- A large number of heuristic rules have been written in a new pre-processor for the Alpino generator, to map input dependency structures which are not fully consistent with expected input structures to more suitable input structures. The component now consists of over 3000 lines of Prolog code.

3.5 German to/from English

Apart from the processing pipeline of the transfer-based RBMT system (Lucy), additional deep processing tools for German are being used in several parts of the project, through the usage of specific parsing tools. A description of our efforts to adapt, evaluate and enhance LRTs and processing tools for each use case is the following:

Berkeley Parser

⁷<https://metacpan.org/source/ZEMAN/Lingua-Interset-2.041/lib/Lingua/Interset/Tagset/NL/Cgn.pm>

⁸<https://redmine.ms.mff.cuni.cz/projects/qt leap/repository/revisions/master/changes/treex/lib/Treex/Block/P2A/NL/Alpino.pm>

⁹<http://www.let.rug.nl/vannoord/alp/Alpino>

Berkeley Parser is a state-of-the-art Probabilistic Context-Free Grammar (PCFG) parser that supports unlexicalized parsing with hierarchically state-split PCFGs, supporting optimal pruning via a coarse-to-fine method (Petrov and Klein [2007]). It has the advantage that it is accurate and fast, by using multi-threading technology. Apart from the best tree for each parse, it also provides the parsing log-likelihood and a number of k-best trees along with their parse probabilities. The English grammar has been trained on the Wall Street Journal. The German grammar, using Latent Variable Grammars (Petrov and Klein [2008]), has been trained on the TIGER (Brants et al. [2004]) and TueBaD/Z (Telljohann et al. [2004]) treebanks, as released by the ACL 2008 workshop on Parsing German (Kübler [2008]).

The use of Berkeley parser has shown good results as a quality indicator for Quality Estimation. In this frame, our engineering efforts have focused on connecting the parser in the broader pipeline of sentence selection in Pilot 1, by providing a socket interface that exposes the Java library of the parser as a python object (see Py4J¹⁰). Additionally, in our effort to acquire features for qualitative translation, we use word and phrase alignment methods in order to map node labels between source and produced translations.

BitPar

BitPar is a parser for highly ambiguous probabilistic context-free grammars. It makes use of bit-vector operations that allow parallelising and speeding up the the basic parsing operations (Schmid [2006]). The English grammar is based on the PENN treebank (Marcus et al. [1993]), whereas the German grammar is also based on the TIGER treebank. BitPar was also included on our annotation pipeline in order to provide additional evidence and allow comparisons to the observations on the Berkeley parses. It also provides sentence-level tree likelihood and k-best lists. Unfortunately, contrary to the Berkeley Parser, the k-best lists of BitPar were of limited usability due to small differences in their relative likelihood.

ParZu

The Zurich Dependency Parser for German (ParZu) follows a hybrid architecture including both a hand-written grammar and a statistics module that chooses the most-likely parse of each sentence (Sennrich et al. [2009]). As compared to many other German parsers, it integrates morphological information (Sennrich et al. [2013]) and it does not use a chunker.

This parser has been employed for the parsing needs of the German version of TectoMT, which is still work in progress and has not been included in Pilot 1. It has been chosen after an analysis of the capabilities of several parsers and their compiled grammars, including MDparser, Stanford Dependency Parser and MaltParser. ParZu was found optimal, as it provides the necessary morphological disambiguation upon parsing and connects well with relevant morphological analyzers and generators. Additionally, ParZu has shown to perform well in comparison to the other parsers in previous work (Williams et al. [2014]).

In order to acquire morphological analysis for ParZu, we have been using the Zurich Morphological Analyzer for German (ZMORG), based on finite-state-transducers automatically extracted from Wiktionary (Sennrich and Kunz [2014]). The tool can also function as a morphological generator and outputs the analysis in a modified SMOR format.

As part of our QTLeap efforts on TectoMT, a “driver” between the SMOR format and the universal Lingua Intersect (Zeman [2008]) was built and committed to the open

¹⁰<http://py4j.sourceforge.net/>

repository. This was required as the Lingua Interset is needed by TectoMT. In a later stage, this conversion can allow interaction with the Universal Dependencies standard (de Marneffe et al. [2014]).

3.6 Portuguese to/from English

The Portuguese translation pipeline uses TectoMT, which already handles English analysis, English synthesis and tectogrammatical transfer. Accordingly, as stated in Deliverable D1.3, most of our effort in terms of LRTs is to be directed towards Portuguese analysis and Portuguese synthesis. Regarding Pilot 1, LRT curation consisted mainly of (i) performing minor fixes and improvements to the tools that form the Portuguese pipeline, (ii) integrating those tools into Treex and (iii) implementing and fine-tuning Portuguese blocks in Treex. This Section describes this work of curation that was performed.

A great deal of the Portuguese analysis and synthesis is ensured by a set of processing tools, each with different licensing terms, that already existed when the project started. These tools are grouped under the LX-Suite of tools Branco and Silva [2006], which includes a sentence segmenter, a tokenizer, a POS tagger, morphological analysers for nominal and verbal categories, and a dependency parser. These tools have traditionally been run from the command line, and communicate among themselves using Unix pipes (i.e. the standard output of a process feeds into the standard input of the process that follows it). Using these tools from within Treex raised technical issues tied with how inter-process communication is handled by the operating system. Fixing these issues required changing some of the code that handles input and output, at the level of the tools, and disabling data-transfer buffering in pipes, at the level of inter-process communication in the shell. To ease integration of our analysis tools into Treex, LX-Suite was wrapped in a script that gives a convenient, configurable and unified access point to the pipeline. Communication with this script is done via a socket that provides a transparent way for accessing LX-Suite remotely and for distributing processing load.

LX-VerbalLemmatizer, the tool in the LX-Suite analysis pipeline that handles morphological analysis of verbal tokens, was found to be a performance bottleneck. The problem was tracked down to the way the tool interfaces with an auxiliary Python script. Fixing this issue led to a great speed improvement for the tool and, consequently, for LX-Suite.

LX-Suite uses TnT Brants [2000] for POS tagging. We found a bug in TnT where a blank line, which is used for separating sentences, is disregarded. This behavior means that a sentence may be tagged differently depending on the sentence that precedes it. We fixed this issue by finding a token sequence that is guaranteed to be recognized by TnT as a sentence separator, seamlessly introducing that sequence into the input stream of TnT, annotating and then seamlessly removing it from the output.

The in-domain evaluation reported in Deliverable D5.4 uncovered some systematic errors in POS tagging. A common source of error was the tagging of unknown English words (e.g. “router” and “wifi” being tagged as verbs) and the tagging of the first word in the sentence, in particular when that word is an unknown imperative verb (e.g. “Abra” (open), “Carregue” (press), etc.) Such words and contexts are common in the in-domain corpus, but they hardly occur in the corpus used to train the POS tagger. Manually adding these words with the correct POS tag to the lexicon of TnT, which is stored as a human-readable file, was a straightforward way to make them known to the tagger. This, in turn, meant that the tagger was now able to tag those words correctly. A similar

procedure was done for LX-VerbalLemmatizer. This tool uses a list of attested verb lemmas to which we added the neologism “clicar (to click)”.

A bug in LX-VerbalLemmatizer was found, where “pretérito-mais-que-perfeito” verb forms were being assigned the wrong inflection features. That bug was fixed.

We have implemented a tool for converting from the Portuguese CINTIL-style dependencies into Universal Stanford Dependencies (USD). This allows us to obtain Tectogrammatical representations by using the converter from USD into Tectogrammatical that already exists in Treex as a stepping stone.

The CINTIL-USD conversion tool uses some conversion rules that need information about the semantic role of relations, but the default dependency parser outputs relations tagged only with grammatical functions. To allow applying these conversion rules, the dependency parser was retrained over a corpus of dependencies where grammatical relations are extended with semantic roles, for instance “SJ-ARG1” for a subject that is the first argument and “M-LOC” for a modifier that refers to a location. We also took this opportunity to use additional training data that became available since the last time the parser was trained, a total of 20,046 sentences and 231,671 tokens. Under 10-fold cross-validation, this parser achieves 0.86 accuracy (LAS, or labeled accuracy score).

The curation of the analysis pipeline also involved the implementation and tuning of several Treex blocks. These include, for instance, a block for reordering dependencies in English analysis; and a block in Portuguese analysis that fixes the representation of imperatives that handles Portuguese politeness and turns subjunctive mood into imperative.

Similarly, the curation of the transfer module also required the development of several Treex blocks. For instance, EN-PT transfer needed a module for moving adjectives to post-nominal position while PT-EN transfer required the converse module, for moving adjectives to pre-nominal position.

The synthesis of Portuguese is done in Treex, with the support of LX-Inflector (used for nominal inflection) and LX-Conjugator (used for verbal conjugation). These supporting tools also suffered from the pipe buffering issue described above, and had to be fixed. LX-Inflector and LX-Conjugator were also taken into the LX-Suite wrapper script mentioned above, which made their integration into Treex much easier.

Naturally, synthesis is a language-specific task and required implementing several Treex blocks from scratch, followed by testing and fine-tuning. These Treex blocks are responsible for such diverse issues as word order, ensuring proper capitalization of words, inserting clitic pronouns, inserting articles, forming contracted forms, etc.

We note that part of the LRT curation was done in collaboration with the CUNI partner. Namely, (i) the driver¹¹ for converting the Portuguese tagset into Interset, by Dan Zeman and Martin Popel; (ii) the harmonization (dependency style conversion) Treex block¹² for Portuguese USD-style dependencies, by Dan Zeman and Zdenek Zabokrtsky; and (iii) the draft harmonization Treex block¹³ for Portuguese CINTIL-style dependencies, by Martin Popel, which ended up not being directly applied in Pilot 1, since we convert CINTIL dependencies to USD, but part of its code was used in the USD harmonization block in (ii).

¹¹<https://metacpan.org/source/ZEMAN/Lingua-Interset-2.041/lib/Lingua/Interset/Tagset/PT/Cintil.pm>

¹²<https://redmine.ms.mff.cuni.cz/projects/qt leap/repository/ revisions/master/ changes/treex/lib/Treex/Block/HamleDT/PT/HarmonizeCintilUSD.pm>

¹³<https://redmine.ms.mff.cuni.cz/projects/qt leap/repository/ revisions/master/ changes/treex/lib/Treex/Block/HamleDT/PT/HarmonizeCintil.pm>

The Treex blocks that were created and developed for QTLeap purposes are published under an open-source license (Perl Artistic + GPL).¹⁴

3.7 Spanish to/from English

Our translation pipeline uses TectoMT, which handles English analysis, English synthesis and tectogrammatical transfer. Therefore, most of our effort in terms of LRTs has focused on Spanish analysis and Spanish synthesis. For Pilot 1, LRT curation mainly involved (i) integrating existing analysis tools into Treex and (ii) implementing Spanish synthesis in Treex. This Section describes such curation.

The *ixa-pipes* tools¹⁵ consist of a set of modules that perform linguistic analysis from tokenization to parsing. Additionally, a set of external tools have been adapted to interact with them¹⁶ adding extra functionality such as co-reference resolution, Semantic Role Labelling and Named Entity Disambiguation. For Pilot 1, the tokenization and sentence splitting modules of Treex have been adapted to Spanish. For PoS tagging (*ixa-pipe-pos*) and dependency parsing (*ixa-pipe-srl*) tools from *ixa-pipes* have been integrated.

Treex integrates tokenization and sentence splitting based on non-breaking prefixes. Those blocks have been extended to work with Spanish. To this end, a list of Spanish non-breaking prefixes were added.

After tokenization, *ixa-pipes* modules for PoS tagging, lemmatization and dependency parsing have been integrated into Treex. The tools were already developed and ready to use. We integrated them as a wrapper block that, given a set of already tokenized sentences, creates the appropriate input in NAF format and calls the relevant tools. Once the tools complete their work, the output of the system is read and loaded in Treex documents.

These analyses, generated by *ixa-pipes* tools, follow the AnCora guidelines both for morphological tags and dependency tree structures. Therefore, to fully integrate the analyses into Treex, they must be modified to use the Interset tagset and follow Treex guidelines. To implement this change, we have used existing modules that have been improved for QTLeap purposes: (i) Interset driver for Spanish AnCora Treebank tagset by Dan Zeman and Zdenek Zabokrtsky. It is published under open-source license (Perl Artistic + GPL) at CPAN¹⁷; (ii) Harmonization Treex block for Spanish AnCora-style dependencies by Dan Zeman, Zdenek Zabokrtsky and Martin Popel. It is available under open-source license (Perl Artistic + GPL) at QTLeap git repository¹⁸.

For Spanish synthesis a specific rule-based block that deals with morphological inflection has been created. This rule-based block correctly manages the regular inflection schemes, as well as the more usual exceptions. Given the large amount of resources needed to build a complete rule-based module for synthesis, the possibility to train an statistical morphological generator such as Flect will be studied for future pilots. Additionally, a

¹⁴The common address is <https://redmine.ms.mff.cuni.cz/projects/qt leap/repository/revisions/master/changes/treex/lib/Treex/>, and the different blocks can be found in subfolders A2T/PT, A2W/PT, T2A/PT, T2T/EN2PT e W2A/PT.

¹⁵<http://ixa2.si.ehu.es/ixa-pipes/>

¹⁶<http://ixa2.si.ehu.es/ixa-pipes/third-party-tools.html>

¹⁷<https://metacpan.org/source/ZEMAN/Lingua-Intersect-2.041/lib/Lingua/Intersect/Tagset/ES/Conll2009.pm>

¹⁸<https://redmine.ms.mff.cuni.cz/projects/qt leap/repository/\discretionary{-}{-}revisions/\discretionary{-}{-}master/changes/\discretionary{-}{-}treex/\discretionary{-}{-}lib/\discretionary{-}{-}Treex/Block/HamleDT/ES/Harmonize.pm>

number of Treex modules have been created to deal with diverse issues such as word order and capitalization.

All the tools curated for Pilot 1 are published under open-source licenses: (1) PoS tagger (*ixa-pipes-pos*) is distributed under Apache 2.0 license; (2) the dependency parser (*ixa-pipe-srl*) is based on Mate-tools which is distributed under GPLv3 license; (3) Treex and all the extensions developed for EN-ES are distributed under Perl Artistic + GPL licenses.

4 Final remarks

In general, two situations of LRTs curation were observed with respect to Pilot 1, and were just described.

The first is when the LRTs existed prior to QTLeap project, and were improved within the project: (Basque-English (both parts); Dutch-English (both parts); Spanish-English (both parts); Czech-English (both parts); German-English (German); Portuguese-English (both parts) Bulgarian-English (Bulgarian part).

The second is when there were no appropriate LRTs for the aims of QTLeap objectives, but they were newly created within the project: Bulgarian-English (English part); Dutch-English (both parts updated for QTLeap).

References

- Paige Holland Adams. Conversation Thread Extraction and Topic Detection in Text-Based Chat. Master's thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, 2008.
- Itziar Aduriz, María Jesús Aranzabe, Jose Mari Arriola, Aitziber Atutxa, Arantza Díaz de Ilarraza, Aitzpea Garmendia, and Maite Oronoz. Construction of a Basque Dependency Treebank. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Treebanks and Linguistic Theories*, pages 201–204, 2003.
- Rodrigo Agerri, Josu Bermudez, and German Rigau. IXA pipeline: Efficient and Ready to Use Multilingual NLP tools. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'14)*, Reykjavik, Iceland, May 2014. European Language Resources Association (ELRA). ISBN 978-2-9517408-8-4.
- Iñaki Alegria, María Jesús Aranzabe, Aitzol Ezeiza, Nerea Ezeiza, and Ruben Urizar. Robustness and Customisation in an Analyser/lemmatiser for Basque. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC). Customizing knowledge in NLP applications Workshop*, page 1–6, 2002.
- Bernd Bohnet. Top accuracy and fast dependency parsing is not a contradiction. In Churen Huang and Dan Jurafsky, editors, *COLING*, pages 89–97. Tsinghua University Press, 2010.
- Bernd Bohnet and Jonas Kuhn. The Best of Both Worlds – A Graph-based Completion Model for Transition-based Parsers. In *Proceedings of the 13th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 77–87, Avignon, France, April 2012. Association for Computational Linguistics. URL <http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/E12-1009>.

- António Branco and João Silva. A suite of shallow processing tools for portuguese: LX-Suite. In *Proceedings of the 11th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (EACL)*, pages 179–182, 2006.
- Sabine Brants, Stefanie Dipper, Peter Eisenberg, Silvia Hansen-Schirra, Esther König, Wolfgang Lezius, Christian Rohrer, George Smith, and Hans Uszkoreit. TIGER: Linguistic Interpretation of a German Corpus. *Research on Language and Computation*, 2(4):597–620, 2004. ISSN 1570-7075. doi: 10.1007/s11168-004-7431-3.
- Thorsten Brants. Tnt – a statistical part-of-speech tagger. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Conference on Applied Natural Language Processing*, pages 224–231, Seattle, Washington, USA, April 2000. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.3115/974147.974178. URL <http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/A00-1031>.
- Michael Collins. Discriminative training methods for Hidden Markov Models: theory and experiments with perceptron algorithms. In *Proceedings of the ACL-02 conference on Empirical methods in natural language processing - Volume 10, EMNLP '02*, pages 1–8. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2002.
- Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, Timothy Dozat, Natalia Silveira, Katri Haverinen, Filip Ginter, Joakim Nivre, and Christopher D Manning. Universal Stanford Dependencies: A cross-linguistic typology. In *Proceedings of LREC*, 2014.
- Ondrej Dušek and Filip Jurčicek. Robust Multilingual Statistical Morphological Generation Models. *ACL 2013*, pages 158–164, 2013.
- Micha Elsner and Eugene Charniak. Disentangling chat. *Comput. Linguist.*, 36(3):389–409, September 2010. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/coli_a_00003.
- E.N. Forsyth and C.H. Martell. Lexical and discourse analysis of online chat dialog. In *Semantic Computing, 2007. ICSC 2007. International Conference on*, pages 19–26, Sept 2007.
- Jan Hajič, Massimiliano Ciaramita, Richard Johansson, Daisuke Kawahara, Maria Antònia Martí, Lluís Màrquez, Adam Meyers, Joakim Nivre, Sebastian Padó, Jan Štěpánek, Pavel Straňák, Mihai Surdeanu, Nianwen Xue, and Yi Zhang. The conll-2009 shared task: Syntactic and semantic dependencies in multiple languages. In *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning: Shared Task, CoNLL '09*, pages 1–18, Stroudsburg, PA, USA, 2009. Association for Computational Linguistics. ISBN 978-1-932432-29-9. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1596409.1596411>.
- Philipp Koehn and Hieu Hoang. Factored translation models. In *EMNLP-CoNLL 2007, Proceedings of the 2007 Joint Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and Computational Natural Language Learning, June 28-30, 2007, Prague, Czech Republic*, pages 868–876, 2007. URL <http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/D07-1091>.
- Sandra Kübler. The PaGe 2008 Shared Task on Parsing German. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Parsing German, PaGe '08*, pages 55–63, Stroudsburg, PA, USA, 2008. Association for Computational Linguistics. ISBN 978-1-932432-15-2. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1621401.1621409>.

- Mitchell P Marcus, Mary Ann Marcinkiewicz, and Beatrice Santorini. Building a Large Annotated Corpus of English: The Penn Treebank. *Comput. Linguist.*, 19(2):313–330, June 1993. ISSN 0891-2017. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=972470.972475>.
- Slav Petrov and Dan Klein. Improved inference for unlexicalized parsing. In *Proceedings of the 2007 Annual Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, Rochester, New York, 2007. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Slav Petrov and Dan Klein. Parsing German with Latent Variable Grammars. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Parsing German, PaGe '08*, pages 33–39, Stroudsburg, PA, USA, 2008. Association for Computational Linguistics. ISBN 978-1-932432-15-2. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1621401.1621406>.
- Helmut Schmid. Trace Prediction and Recovery with Unlexicalized PCFGs and Slash Features. In *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Computational Linguistics and the 44th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL-44*, pages 177–184, Stroudsburg, PA, USA, 2006. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.3115/1220175.1220198. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.3115/1220175.1220198>.
- Rico Sennrich and Beat Kunz. Zmorge: A German Morphological Lexicon Extracted from Wiktionary. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'14)*, 2014. ISBN 978-2-9517408-8-4. URL http://www.lrec-conf.org/proceedings/lrec2014/pdf/116_Paper.pdf.
- Rico Sennrich, Gerold Schneider, Martin Volk, and Martin Warin. A new hybrid dependency parser for German. *Proceedings of the German Society for Computational Linguistics and Language Technology*, pages 115–124, 2009.
- Rico Sennrich, Martin Volk, and Gerold Schneider. Exploiting Synergies Between Open Resources for German Dependency Parsing, POS-tagging, and Morphological Analysis. In *RANLP*, pages 601–609, 2013.
- Jana Straková, Milan Straka, and Jan Hajič. Open-Source Tools for Morphology, Lemmatization, POS Tagging and Named Entity Recognition. In *Proceedings of 52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations*, pages 13–18, Baltimore, Maryland, June 2014. Association for Computational Linguistics. URL <http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P/P14/P14-5003>.
- Heike Telljohann, Erhard Hinrichs, Sandra Kübler, Ra Kübler, and Universität Tübingen. The Tüba-D/Z Treebank: Annotating German with a Context-Free Backbone. In *In Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2004)*, pages 2229–2235, 2004.
- David C. Uthus and David W. Aha. The ubuntu chat corpus for multiparticipant chat analysis. In *Analyzing Microtext, Papers from the 2013 AAAI Spring Symposium, Palo Alto, California, USA, March 25-27, 2013*, 2013. URL <http://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/SSS/SSS13/paper/view/5706>.

Rui Wang, Petya Osenova, and Kiril Simov. Linguistically-augmented Bulgarian-to-English Statistical Machine Translation Model. In *Proceedings of the Joint Workshop on Exploiting Synergies Between Information Retrieval and Machine Translation (ESIRMT) and Hybrid Approaches to Machine Translation (HyTra)*, EACL 2012, pages 119–128, Stroudsburg, PA, USA, 2012a. Association for Computational Linguistics. ISBN 978-1-937284-19-0. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2387956.2387972>.

Rui Wang, Petya Osenova, and Kiril Simov. Linguistically-enriched Models for Bulgarian-to-English Machine Translation. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Syntax, Semantics and Structure in Statistical Translation*, SSST-6 '12, pages 10–19, Stroudsburg, PA, USA, 2012b. Association for Computational Linguistics. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2392936.2392939>.

Philip Williams, Rico Sennrich, Maria Nadejde, Matthias Huck, Eva Hasler, and Philipp Koehn. Edinburgh's Syntax-Based Systems at WMT 2014. In *Proceedings of the Ninth Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation*, pages 207–214, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, June 2014. Association for Computational Linguistics. URL <http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W/W14/W14-3324>.

Daniel Zeman. Reusable Tagset Conversion Using Tagset Drivers. In *Proceedings of LREC*, 2008.

A Summary of availability

Name of LRT	language	QTLeap	License	URL
Alpino	EN, NL	No	LGPL	http://www.let.rug.nl/vannoord/alp/Alpino
Berkeley Parser	DE	No	GNU GPL v2	https://code.google.com/p/berkeleyparser/
BitPar	DE	No		http://www.cis.uni-muenchen.de/~schmid/tools/BitPar/
Harmonization Specific language style	EU, BG, CS, DT, EN, DE, PT, ES	Yes	Perl Artistic + GPL	https://redmine.ms.mff.cuni.cz/projects/qt leap/repository/revisions/master/show/treex/lib/Treex/Block/HamleDT
Intersect driver for language specific tagset	EU, BG, CS, DT, EN, DE, PT, ES	Yes	Perl Artistic + GPL	https://metacpan.org/source/ZEMAN/Lingua-Intersect-2.041/lib/Lingua/Intersect/Tagset/EU/Conll.pm
ixa-pipe-dep-eu	EU	Yes	GPL v3	http://ixa2.si.ehu.es/ixa-pipes/eu/ixa-pipe-dep-eu.tar.gz
ixa-pipe-pos	ES	No	Apache 2.0	https://github.com/ixa-ehu/ixa-pipe-pos/
ixa-pipe-pos-eu	EU	Yes	GPL v3	http://ixa2.si.ehu.es/ixa-pipes/eu/ixa-pipe-pos-eu.tar.gz
ixa-pipe-srl	ES	No	GPL v3	https://github.com/newsreader/ixa-pipe-srl
Treex	EU, BG, CS, DT, EN, DE, PT, ES	Yes	Perl Artistic + GPL	https://github.com/ufal/treex
LX-Suite of tools	PT	No	various, including MS-NC and proprietary	http://metashare.metanet4u.eu/ (search for “LX-Tokenizer” and “LX-Tagger”)
ParZu	DE	No	GNU GPL v2	https://github.com/rsennrich/parzu
QTLeap corpus	EU, BG, CS, DT, EN, DE, PT, ES	Yes	CC BY-NC-SA 4.0	http://metashare.metanet4u.eu/go2/qt leapcorpus
Wrapper for BTB pipeline and ixa-pipes	BG, EN	Yes	Web services	http://213.191.204.69:5080/smtbtbpipeps/process curl -X POST -data-binary "BG text." http://213.191.204.69:9080/smtixapipewps/process curl -X POST -data-binary "Testing the pipe."
Wrapper for MorphoDiTa	CS	Yes	Perl Artistic + GPL	https://redmine.ms.mff.cuni.cz/projects/qt leap/repository/revisions/master/changes/treex/lib/Treex/Tool/Tagger/MorphoDiTa.pm
Wrapper for NameTag	CS	Yes	Perl Artistic + GPL	https://redmine.ms.mff.cuni.cz/projects/qt leap/repository/revisions/master/changes/treex/lib/Treex/Tool/NER/NameTag.pm

Table 3: Summary of publicly available LRTs mentioned in this deliverable. QTLeap column indicates with “yes” those LRTs which have been (partially) funded by QTLeap. QTLeap corpus is also available through CLARIN Lindat. (<https://lindat.mff.cuni.cz/>)